APPENDIX B.

QUESTIONS FOR WHICH PRINTED ANSWERS WERE FURNISHED BUT NOT REACHED FOR WANT OF TIME.

MR. R. GOPALASWAMY IYER (Minorities).—

Q.—5. Will the Government be pleased to place on the table :—

(*a*) the Government Order No. E. 3966-7—Edn. 295-22-1, dated 22nd December 1922 ;

and state—

(*b*) the number of hostels started from 1922 to 1939 for Middle, High and College classes ;

(*c*) the name and place of each hostel ;

(*d*) the amount of building grant given by the department ;

(*e*) the extent and value of land given by local bodies or Government ;

(*f*) the places where there are Middle Schools and High Schools which have no hostels ;

(*g*) the name and place of Government hostels ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—5. (*a*) A copy of the Government Order is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*b*) 78.

(*c*) A statement furnishing the information is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*d*) and (*e*) Information is being collected.

(*f*) A statement furnishing the information in respect of five districts (Chitaldrug, Mysore, Tumkur, Hassan and Kolar) is placed on the table. Information is not yet available in respect of other districts. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*g*) A list is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

MR. SHAMPUR PAPANNA (Minorities).—

Q.—6. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the decision of the High Court of Mysore, reported in XII. *Mysore Law Journal*, page 518, *re* the acquisition of land of one Mr. Hosdurga Srinivasa Rao of Shimoga ;

(*b*) whether they would be pleased to ascertain, in how many cases after the decision of the High Court referred to above, the Land Acquisition Officers have issued notices long after the date of the award so as to prevent the parties from having their remedies in a Court of Law ;

(*c*) whether they are aware of the fate of a similar case 64 of 37-38 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore ;

(*d*) whether they propose to take steps to see that the rights of the parties are not affected by the actions of the Land Acquisition Officers in view of the fact that the awards are not pronounced in the presence of the claimants ?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—6. (*a*) Government have since perused the decision.

(*b*) It is ascertained that there are no such instances.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Government have issued instructions that the date of passing the award should be notified in advance to the parties by the Land Acquisition Officers so that they may appear in person and receive the compensation or if the party refuses to accept the compensation amount, his objection might be recorded and the compensation deposited forthwith as per Section 31 (2), Land Acquisition Act, without further delay in the Civil Court concerned.

MR. N. BHOGIMALLIAH (Heggaddevankote Taluk).—

Q.—17. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it was not brought to their notice at the last Dasara and the Budget Sessions of the Representative Assembly, that the water from the waste weir of the Karigala tank (Heggaddevankote Taluk) was flowing into the Hebbahalla and ultimately into the river, without such water being usefully utilised;

(*b*) whether any representations were made by means of petitions for extending the North Channel by a mile;

(*c*) whether the survey has been completed by the Department of Public Works and whether the plan and the estimate have been prepared;

(*d*) if the survey has been completed, the estimated cost per mile and the additional extent that will become irrigable; the estimated cost of the project;

(*e*) the names of the raiyats of Hampapur Hobli, who had executed *mutchalikas* agreeing to pay contribution at Rs. 30 per acre, in pursuance of the orders received to that effect;

(*f*) whether it is true that a reference has been made by the Public Works Department to the Taluk Office intimating that the work would be taken up if contribution be paid at Rs. 70 per acre;

(*g*) the reason for demanding contribution at Rs. 70 per acre when *mutchalikas* had already been obtained for Rs. 30 per acre;

(*h*) how many years ago were petitions regarding this presented and when the *mutchalikas* agreeing to pay contribution at Rs. 30 per acre were got executed?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—17. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Survey work is completed. Plans and estimate are under preparation.

(*d*) Details are being worked out. The project is roughly estimated to cost Rs. 13,500 to irrigate an extent of 205 acres.

(*e*) Messrs.—

H. K. Thammaiah.
M. P. Paramasiviah.
Siddachari.
Abdul Latif.
R. Girimajiah.
Veeratha Boyee.
Putta Boyee.
Revanaradhya.